

# UNITED STATES DEFETMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

Washington, D.C. 20231

FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. APPLICATION NO. 08/999,604 12/26/96 DENT 027540-688 **EXAMINER** LM02/1124 BURNS DOANE SWECKER AND MATHIS LUTHER, W P 0 BOX 1404 **ART UNIT** PAPER NUMBER ALEXANDRIA VA 22313-1404

DATE MAILED:

11/24/99

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

**Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks** 

2731

# Office Action Summary

Application No. 08/999,604

Applical

**DENT** 

Examiner

William Luther

Group Art Unit 2731



Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>Aug 30, 1999</u>	
☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> .	
☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quay/1835 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.	as to the merits is closed
A shortened statutory period for response to this action is set to expire3month(s), or longer, from the mailing date of this communication. Failure to respond within the period for respapplication to become abandoned. (35 U.S.C. § 133). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a).	onse will cause the
Disposition of Claim	
X Claim(s) <u>1-44</u>	is/are pending in the applicat
Of the above, claim(s)is/a	re withdrawn from consideration
X Claim(s) <u>1-28</u>	is/are allowed.
X Claim(s) <u>29-44</u>	is/are rejected.
☐ Claim(s)	is/are objected to.
☐ Claims are subject to re-	striction or election requirement.
Application Papers  See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948.  The drawing(s) filed on is/are objected to by the Examiner.  The proposed drawing correction, filed on is approved disapproved.  The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d).  AllSome* None of the CERTIFIED copies of the priority documents have been received.  received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Number) received in this national stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  *Certified copies not received: Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).	
Attachment(s)  Notice of References Cited, PTO-892  Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No(s)	

Application/Control Number: 08/999,604

Art Unit: 2731

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

- 1. This action is in response to 8/30/99.
- 2. Claims 1-28 are allowed.

#### Response to Arguments

- 3. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 29-44 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.
  - -Support for the claim combinations, as required per amendment (emphasis), is not clear.

Clarification is sought (see 112 1st rejection below);

- -art rejections are maintained in reference to pending claims as they are best understood;
- -proposed drawing changes are approved.
- -claim 5 was clearly rejected in the former office action.

### Claim Rejections - 35 U.S.C. § 112

4. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

5. Claims 29-44 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as containing subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one

Application/Control Number: 08/999,604

Art Unit: 2731

skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention.

Considering the claims support is not clear for the claim combinations including:

-each claimed element in combination which each specific 'means for'.

## Claim Rejections - 35 U.S.C. § 103

- 6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims are rejected as best understood and to the extent that support is found (see 112 1st paragraph rejection above).

7. Claim 29-30, 32-34, and 36-40 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Gilhousen et al (U.S. patent no. 5,103,459) in view of Nguyen (U.S. patent no. 5,230,084).

Paragraph 4 of paper no. 9 is hereby incorporated by reference.

Application/Control Number: 08/999,604

Art Unit: 2731

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to William Luther whose telephone number is (703) 308-6609. The examiner can normally be reached on M-Fri from 9:30am to 3pm. The fax phone number for this art unit is (703) 305-3988.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-4700.

William Luther Primary Examiner November 22, 1999 10/18

